

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/801,211	03/16/2004	Russell C. Blaisdell	RSW920040023US1	2031
23:59 07/01/2008 IBM CORPORATION 3039 CORNWALLIS RD. DEPT. T81 / B503, PO BOX 12195 REISEARCH TRIANGLE PARK. NC 27709			EXAMINER	
			WILLIAMS, CLAYTON R	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
THIS IN THE I		21703	2157	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/01/2008	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

RSWIPLAW@us.ibm.com

Interview Summary

(1) Clayton R Williams

 Application No.
 Applicant(s)

 10/801,211
 BLAISDELL ET AL.

 Examiner
 Art Unit

 Clayton R. Williams
 2157

(3)Robert Voight

All participants (applicant,	applicant's representative,	PTO personnel):
------------------------------	-----------------------------	-----------------

2) <u>Abdullahi Salad</u> . (4)
Date of Interview: <u>June 10, 2008</u> .
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2)□ applicant's representative]
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No. If Yes, brief description:
Claim(s) discussed: 4.
Identification of prior art discussed: Gales/20030084323.
Agreement with respect to the claims f)⊠ was reached. g)☐ was not reached. h)☐ N/A.
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement wa reached, or any other comments: http://discoussed manner in which claim 4 is distinguishable over Ga Specifically afty, cited portions of specificalton in making his argument. Examiner and afty reached agreements.

reached, or any other comments: Afty Voight discoussed manner in which claim 4 is distinguishable over Gales, Specifically atty, cited portions of specification in making his argument. Examiner and atty reached agreement whereby atty will file an amendment that incorporates claim 4 as a limitation to claim 1. Examiner and atty further agreed that examiner will conduct additional search following submission of amendment.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Salad Abdullahi/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2157 Examiner's signature, if required

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.